

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Garth & Sonya OBrien

A Coorabell Retreat

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Adam Bright

1 James Cook Apartments

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jan Petroff

2 James Cook Apartments

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Martin Forer

3 James Cook Apartments

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jilly MacMillan

3 Salty Sisters

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Gavin & Nicole Sandercoe

4 James Cook Apartments

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Anne & Alan Docherty

11 James Cook Apartments

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Stacey Vacher

A Summer Cottage

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Clare Mulham

A Top Spot

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lisa & Ben Smith

Aaloka Bay

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Robyn Williams

Abode at Byrom

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Joan Sidney

Aditi

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Sean & Phoebe Neylon

Allura

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Linda & Glen

Aloha

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Christina

Amalfi Villa

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kellie Wilson

Amity at Seadrift

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Linda Child

Anchored In Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Carolyn Hare

Apartment 2 Surfside

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Barry Henson

Apartment 3 Surfside

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Richard Purchas

Aria At Nashua

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Clare Mulham

Arya

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Sue & Paul Calthrop

Ayana

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lucy Purchas

Ayindi

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Leo Nunnink

Baby Blue

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Stewart Smith

Bacchus

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kate Cato

Bangalla Estate

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Adrian Venturi

Bangalleys

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jason & Michele Nevins

Bangalow Abode

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jenny Kennedy

Banjós on Paterson

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Tim Freedman

Barefoot at Broken

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

John & Kathy McKinstry

Barefoot Lane

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Pete & Susan Travis

Barrel & Branch

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Mike & Jo Chaseling

Bay Rock House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Chris Plowman

Beach House at Tallows

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Aaron Rowe

Bay Royal Apartment 2

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Leo Nunnink

Beachcomber Blue

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Mark Pretty

Beachwood

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jamie Daou

Belongil Beach Pad

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Greta & Perry Smith

Bhadra Farmhouse and Bails

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Nikoll Nobay

Black Star

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Justin Howse

Blubayu

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Shaji Karimadath

Blue Bliss

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Peter & Vicki Evans

Boardroom At Wategos

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bryan & Georgia Gorman

Bougainvillea Estate

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Steve Timbrell

Bulugal

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jane Wrencher

Byron Bay Villa

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Neil McGregor

Byron Beach Style

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Michael Nossal

Byron Blisshouse

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Eva & Peter Ramsey

Byron's Mango Tree

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Joern Riemer

Byron Pacific Vista

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Tracey Zabell

Byron View

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Matthew Hicks & Gabriella Brennan

Byron's Brae

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Mandie Hall

Byron Sunrise

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Elizabeth Abegg

Cactus Rose Villa

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Meryl Kershaw

Cape Breeze

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

John Branwhite

CapeView at Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lisa Kendall-Mandaliti

Catalina's

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Colin Hussey

Charlottes Web

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Thomas Ingham

Clique 1

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Thomas Ingham

Clique 2

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Thomas Ingham

Clique 3

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Dennis & Jane Jones

Cooinda

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Sharon McInnes

Copper Sands

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Sonya & Garth Brien

Drift at Seadrift

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Hugh Trevor Jones

Eastlands

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Matt Adam-Smith

Gidgets House and Studio

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jennifer Allen

Gigi's

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Michael Webster

Gwandalan

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Paul & Maral Finn

Harkaway

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Tristan Grier

Harvest House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Justine & Paul Hugh-Jones

Hidden Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Trini Nixon

Hummingbird

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Erin Lightfoot

Hydda at Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Madeleine Dower

Jack and Jill

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Peter Westheimer

Jali Burugar

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Nikki & Michael Vati

Jasmine House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kathrine & James Boulderstone

Jimmy's Beach House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Joshua Ackman

Jingi Walla

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Catherine Farrell

Julian Rocks House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Margie & Marc Christowski

Jungleland

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Rob & Twynette Dickinson

Kaylani Cove

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

5 James Cook Apartments

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

8 James Cook Apartments

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

13 Cavvanbah

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Above The Pass

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

AFrame Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Alkira

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Amala

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Amileka

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Ardem at Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Aroha

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Audrey

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Barbara by Kinsfolk

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Barefoot At Tallows

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Barneys at Suffolk

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bayside Court

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Beach Box

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bel Ombre

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Belongil Laneway

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Belongil Sails

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Beluso

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bisque House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Black Beauty Studio

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bodhi Nature

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Boho Breeze

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Broken Ridge

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Brooklet Breeze

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bungalow 23

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bungalow105

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bungalow118

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

By The Beach

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Byron at Clarkes

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Byron Beachfront

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Byron Beachside 8

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Byron Beachside Bliss

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Byron Garden Retreat

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Byron Lux

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Calinda Sol 6

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Calinda Sol 10

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Calinda Sol 11

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Calinda Sol 12

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Carinya Cottages

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Cedar Seas

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Cedrics II

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Charlies

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Coco Beach House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Cove Collective

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Cypress 2

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Drift Suffolk

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Driftwood One

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Driftwood Three At Clarkes Beach

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Driftwood Two at Clarkes Beach

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Eastpoint 3

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Eastpoint 4

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Elua Beach House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Espadrille House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Espadrille Studio

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Fairway

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Fat Frog

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Friday

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Hampton Hill

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Hampton Palms

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Hampton Pavilion

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

HappyNest

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Harveys

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Hogans Bluff

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kaylani 1

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kaylani 2

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kokos 3

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kokos 4

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lawson Beachfront

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lighthouse Landing

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lighthouse Lodge

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lighthouse Lounge

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lighthouse Studio

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Mango Tree

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Massinger Views

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Panorama House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Paradise Palms

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Ron's

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Rose's

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Sea Drift

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Solaris 4 - Byron Beaches Location!

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Soul

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Stonecutters1

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Studio 9

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Studio105

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Studio118

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Summer Salt

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Bach in Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Byron Pavilion

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Dreamcatcher

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Estate Byron Bay

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Hideout House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Tobys Byron Bay

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Tradewinds 3

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Wategos Surf Shack

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Daniel Turk

Kia Ora Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

John & Tracey Rath

Kiah Beachside #3

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Michael & Jane Thiele

Koko's Beach House 1

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Mark Slade

Koko's Beach House 2

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Katharine Ohman

La Finca

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lisa McDonald

Lisa's On Lawson

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Ian Astridge

Little Geckos

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Wendy O'Meara

Longhouse

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

John Thwaites

Mahogany Lodge

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Rebecca & PJ Cushen

Melaleuca

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Richo Jones

Mi Casa

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Johan Strauss

Mulivista

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Liz Ashley

Ocean Walk at Seadrift

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Corinne Crawford

Orana

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Steve Moule

Ourmuli House & Cabin

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Anne Docherty

Pacific Blue

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Andrew Dingjan

Pacific Ridge

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jen & Gary Thomas

Picadilly House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Darren Palmer

Pompano House Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Elke Van Haandel

Quicksilver Apartments

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bridgette & Vaughan Connor

Rubber Soul

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Matt Der Sarkissian

Ruby's Ridge

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

John & Jill Harrison

Rutherford House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Nathan Keevers

Salta

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Ish & Karen Davis

Saltwater

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Annette Feletti

San Juan Surfers Cottage

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jennifer Murphy

Satara Byron Bay

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Bridget Bester

Seasalt

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Shelley Talbot

Serenade

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jensen & Annette Hjorth

Shore Beats Work

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Julia Borg

Shutters at Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Toni Watson

Skye Lodge

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Andrew & Jane Ramsay

Solstice

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Gary Gorrow

Soma

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Karen Stanton

Stanhope Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Toni Watson

Starr Cottage Byron Bay

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jack Traynor

Stay Salty

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Dianne Everitt

Studio 9

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Richo Jones

Su Casa

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Murray & Lisa Manoy

Suffolk House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Peter Kennedy

Summer Breeze

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Saskia Joy Ingham

Sunny Days

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Sue Nagel

Sunnyside Up

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

David & Judy Simpson

Sweethaven

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Antonis Loannou

Tallow Creek House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Jodie Moule

The Chalet

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

James & Mandy Bell

The Hawk

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Gillian & Matthew Wall

The Lazy Leprechaun

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Trudy-Lee Hickey

The Palms

3/54 Lawson Street Byron Bay

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

John Lowe

The Palms

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Elke Van Haandel

The Pass

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Tony & Melissa Hughes

The White Rabbit

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Mark Hussey

Three Little Pigs

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kirrily Kitchin

Tidemark

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Ann McLennan-Simons

Tradewinds 4

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Alison & Tony Burnside

Tuckety

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lisa & Michael Graydon

Twin Tallows

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Amanda Coote

Villa Bohemia

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Renee & Jake McKeon

Villa Coco

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Michelle Ruf

Villa Natasha

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

John Gilmour

Villa St Helena

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lynette Ainsworth

Vue From Here

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lynne Fardon

Wategos Retreat

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Conrad Sernia

Your Place at Belongil Beach

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Hampton Palms

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Hampton Pavilion

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Hogans Bluff

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Illuka On Coopers

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Karinya

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kasa Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Kiah

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

La Mer

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

La Reserve

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Las Palmas

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lawlers

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Le Dog Beach

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Le Viti

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Little Farm House & Cottages

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Little Farm House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Lumiere

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Marlu House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Mulagan House 1

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Mulagan House 2

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Mustique

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Newrybar Meadows

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Ocean Pearl

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Ocean Sapphire

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Ohana Hale

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

On The Bay II

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

On The Bay Ocean Views

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

On The Beach

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

One Coral

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Panorama

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Paul,Âs Farmhouse

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Pavilion 5

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Portum

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Rooftop Byron

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Sabera

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Salt Life

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Salta

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Scenic on Scenic

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Scenic Vista

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Sea Cliffs Retreat

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Seascape

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Serenity

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Stone Crest

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Summerhaven House

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Surfside

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Sway

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Talamanca

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Tallowood

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Barn

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Blue Green Sanctuary

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Falls

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Look Out - Ocean Views

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Range

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Retreat - Hinterland and Ocean Views

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

The Valley

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Tranquil Oasis

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Twenty Six Wategos

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Twilight

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Villa aM

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Villa Rosa

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Wanda Ocean Front

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

White Wood

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Wollumbin

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Yallambee

Dear Council Member,

I'm a local property owner writing to ask you to stand up against the proposal to cap short term rental stays to 90-days per year. This policy would strip my neighbours and me of our basic property rights without doing what it claims and increasing the rental pool for workers.

The policy depends on misleading data and incorrect assumptions. Council, you claim there are 5,428 non-hosted holiday homes in Byron Shire. That's just not the case! The NSW Government's mandatory property register which commenced on 31 January 2022, reported that as of 31 August 2022 there were 1,136 non hosted properties in Byron Shire. You simply can not return 1,500 homes to the permanent rental pool, because they don't exist.

My house is a holiday home not an investment property. I lease it out to families visiting the region to help cover expenses when I'm not staying in it and as a way of supporting the local community. If I were to rent it out permanently, I could no longer stay in my home.

When I put my property up for short term lease it generates value for local businesses and for the community. Short term rental accommodation (STRA) properties add 1,448 jobs and \$267m per year to the local economy. If this policy goes through, the person who cleans my home / maintains my garden / carries out repair work etc. will be out of a job. What's more, the café/restaurant down the road, retail outlets, transport providers etc. will suddenly lose an influx of local families who it relies on.

Most of my guests are families, because I know families will treat my home well and not disrupt our neighbours. Families tend to spend more money in the community eating out, shopping, and enjoying all the activities Byron has to offer.

I have been visiting Byron Bay for decades. I love this town and see myself as a part of the community. I want Byron Shire to thrive. I pay rates, vote in Council elections, and ensure my property adds value to the local business community, even when I'm not staying in it.

This policy makes me feel like the Mayor views property owners as second-class citizens. He has made us scapegoats for his policy failures.

As Michael Lyon himself said, "I think that landlords will either start selling, or look for longer term tenants and I don't think either of those outcomes are a bad thing because it puts downward pressure on housing prices."

Property owners are not to blame for the development and sewerage moratoriums, low density housing strategy and decades of inaction on housing supply.

The mayor wants to rob me of my property rights and decrease the value of my home. Is council planning on reimbursing me and those like me for the lost income and the devaluing of our homes? This policy boils down to nothing more than discrimination against a subset of the Byron community.

Regards

Your Luxury Escape - Ruskin